Reform of the Integration of Industry and Education in Vocational Education with the Policy of "Industrial Colleges"

Yanan Zhu, Chayanan Kerdpitak

College of innovation and management, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, Thailand,

Email: 258396218@qq.com chayanan.ke@ssru.ac.th

ABSTRACT

This paper explores a new practical model of integration of industry, academia, and research in Chinese vocational education, taking the construction of "industry colleges" as an example. The study points out that with rapid economic development and continuous technological advancement, traditional vocational education models can no longer meet the market's demand for high-quality technical talents. Therefore, it is essential to establish industry colleges to promote deep cooperation among schools, enterprises, and research institutions, achieving seamless integration between education and industry. The article first analyzes the challenges faced by Chinese vocational education, including the disconnection between curriculum design and market demand, and insufficient practical opportunities. It then elaborates on how industry colleges can innovate in curriculum design, internship practices, and research collaboration based on successful case studies. By jointly developing curricula with enterprises, implementing dual-teacher training, and establishing school-enterprise joint laboratories, industry colleges can effectively enhance students' practical skills and innovative consciousness. Additionally, the paper discusses the crucial role of policy support in promoting the integration of industry, academia, and research, suggesting that the government should increase investment in the construction of industry colleges and encourage social capital participation. Finally, the article looks forward to the future development direction of vocational education, emphasizing that in the context of globalization, vocational education must continuously innovate and transform to meet the development needs of the economy and society.

Keyword: Industry Colleges, Vocational Education, Integration of Industry and Academia, Practical Model, Policy Support

Introduction

The integration of industry and academia has emerged as a crucial component in the advancement of vocational education, particularly in the context of rapid technological changes and shifting labor market demands. The traditional separation between educational institutions and industry stakeholders has hindered the ability of vocational education to respond adequately to these evolving needs. By fostering a collaborative environment, industry-academia integration aims to bridge the skills gap, ensuring that graduates possess the practical competencies required by employer.

Historically, vocational education in China has faced challenges related to curriculum relevance, teaching methodologies, and the alignment of educational outcomes with labor market expectations. The significance of integrating industry practices into academic programs has become increasingly apparent as employer express concerns over the preparedness of

graduates. This integration not only enhances the employability of students but also contributes to the innovation capacity of industries by infusing fresh ideas and perspectives from academic research.

Case studies from various regions illustrate the benefits of industry-academia collaboration. For instance, successful partnerships in Germany and Singapore have demonstrated how joint initiatives can lead to the development of tailored curricula that reflect real-world industry demands. Such collaborations often include internships, joint research projects, and shared resources, creating a symbiotic relationship that benefits both parties.

The significance of industry-academia integration extends beyond immediate economic benefits. It plays a pivotal role in fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation. In an era where technological advancements are frequent, the ability of educational institutions to evolve alongside industry trends becomes essential. This adaptability not only ensures that vocational education remains relevant but also promotes a lifelong learning ethos among students.

In summary, the background and significance of industry-academia integration in vocational education underscore the necessity of collaborative efforts to enhance educational quality and workforce readiness. This integration serves as a strategic approach to address the challenges faced by vocational education institutions while simultaneously meeting the evolving needs of the labor market. (Yang Zhen, 2024)

Objectives

This study investigates the "Industry-Academy" collaboration model in vocational education in China, evaluating current practices and proposing frameworks to improve the synergy between educational institutions and industry stakeholders.

It examines policies governing vocational schools and industries, reviews government initiatives, and analyzes their impact on partnerships.

Real-world examples of successful partnerships are highlighted, focusing on their contributions to skill development, employability, and innovation.

The study also covers challenges in implementing industry-academia integration, including curriculum alignment, communication, and resource issues.

It aims to provide insights and recommendations to enhance integration efforts, contributing to a more cohesive vocational education system aligned with national economic goals.

Methodology

The research methodology employed in this study consists of a mixed-methods approach, integrating both qualitative and quantitative techniques to provide a comprehensive analysis of industry-academia integration in vocational education. The rationale for this approach lies in the complexity of the subject matter, which requires an in-depth understanding of various perspectives and empirical evidence to draw meaningful conclusions.

Data collection was conducted through a combination of surveys, interviews, and case studies. Surveys targeted vocational education institutions, industry stakeholders, and students, aiming to gather quantitative data on perceptions, experiences, and outcomes related to industry-academia collaboration. The survey instrument included Likert-scale questions and open-ended items, allowing for both numerical analysis and qualitative insights.

Interviews were conducted with key informants from selected vocational institutions and partnering industries. These semi-structured interviews provided deeper insights into the motivations, challenges, and successes of existing partnerships. A total of 30 interviews were conducted, ensuring a diverse representation of perspectives across different sectors and regions.

Case studies were selected based on their successful implementation of industry-academia integration practices. Detailed analysis of these cases involved document reviews, site visits, and stakeholder consultations. Key performance indicators such as student employment rates, project outcomes, and feedback from industry partners were assessed to evaluate the effectiveness of the collaboration models. (Liu Weiye, 2024)

Data analysis involved both statistical techniques for quantitative data and thematic analysis for qualitative data. Statistical software was utilized to perform descriptive and inferential analyses, providing insights into the relationships between variables. Thematic analysis of interview transcripts and case study findings was conducted to identify recurring themes, challenges, and best practices in industry-academia integration.

Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring transparency and respect for confidentiality. The study adhered to ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects, contributing to the integrity of the findings.

This mixed-methods approach not only allowed for a robust analysis of existing practices but also facilitated the exploration of innovative models of integration that could inform future developments in China's vocational education landscape. The findings from the research methodology will be critical in shaping the recommendations and implications discussed in subsequent sections of the study.

Results

Reliability analysis

The reliability of the questionnaire. Generally speaking, when Cronbach's α coefficient is greater than 0.7, the reliability of the questionnaire is better. On the whole, Cronbach's α coefficient reaches 0.942, and the normalized coefficient is also 0.942, which shows that the whole research scale has high internal consistency reliability. This means that the 20 measurement items involved in this study can stably and reliably reflect the overall research theme, that is, the relationship between policy, cooperation, performance, innovation and research objects. From each dimension, Cronbach's α coefficient is 0.885 and the normalized coefficient is 0.884 in the Policy dimension, and the five measurement items have high consistency in this dimension. This shows that the problems used to measure policies are closely related in content and measurement direction, and can effectively and reliably evaluate the implementation effect of policies and the views and feedback of relevant groups on policies. In the dimension of Cooperation, Cronbach's α coefficient is 0.856, which is 0.857 after standardization, and the reliability of the five measurement items is at a good level. This shows that the measurement indicators in this dimension can reflect the cooperation mode, cooperation effect and other aspects stably, which is helpful to deeply understand the advantages and disadvantages in the cooperation process. In the Performance dimension, the coefficient is 0.905, which remains unchanged after standardization. The reliability of this dimension is high, which shows that there is a good correlation between the five measurement items under this dimension, which can reliably reflect the information of performance and performance influencing factors, and provide a strong basis for performance evaluation and improvement. In the Innovation dimension, Cronbach's α coefficient is 0.865, and it is also 0.865 after standardization. The five measurement items can stably reflect the innovation ability, innovation achievements and other related contents to some extent. Although the reliability of this dimension is slightly lower than that of the performance dimension, it is still higher than 0.8, indicating that its reliability can also meet the research needs.

Table 1 Discriminant validity: Pearson correlation and AVE root value

	Policy	Cooperation	Performance	Innovation
Policy	0.78			
Cooperation	0.573(0.000**)	0.738		
Performance	0.563(0.000**)	0.611(0.000**)	0.811	
Innovation	0.608(0.000**)	0.642(0.000**)	0.652(0.000**)	0.75

Note: * * and * represent the significance level of 1% and 5% respectively. The diagonal number is the root number of the factor AVE.

The results of Pearson correlation analysis and square root value of AVE among various factors. If the square root of the mean variance extraction (AVE) of a factor is greater than the Pearson correlation coefficient of other factors, it shows that it has excellent discrimination validity. The AVE square root value of the Policy factor is 0.78, which is greater than its Pearson correlation coefficient with Cooperation (0.573), Performance (0.563), Innovation (0.608) and other factors. This shows that when measuring Policy-related content, the policy factor can be effectively distinguished from other factors, and the discrimination validity is good, which can independently reflect the uniqueness of policy implementation effect and related influence. The square root value of AVE of the Cooperation factor is 0.738, which is greater than its correlation coefficient with other factors, that is, the correlation coefficient with Policy (0.573), Performance (0.611) and Innovation (0.642). This means that when considering the related concepts of cooperation, this factor is independent, can be clearly distinguished from other factors, and can accurately reflect the specific situation of cooperation mode, cooperation results and so on. The square root of AVE of Performance factor is 0.811, which is greater than its correlation coefficient with other factors, that is, the correlation coefficient with Policy (0.563), Cooperation (0.611) and Innovation (0.652). This shows that this factor has good discrimination validity in reflecting performance-related content, and can independently evaluate performance and key factors affecting performance. The square root value of AVE of Innovation factor is 0.75, which is greater than its correlation coefficient with other factors, that is, the correlation coefficient with Policy (0.608), Cooperation (0.642) and Performance (0.652). This shows that this factor has strong independence and discrimination validity when measuring innovation-related concepts, and can effectively distinguish the unique situation of innovation ability and innovation achievements. Generally speaking, the square root of the mean variance extraction (AVE) of each factor is greater than the Pearson correlation coefficient of other factors, which shows that the questionnaire has excellent discrimination validity, can accurately distinguish different concepts and influencing factors represented by each factor, and provide a reliable data basis for subsequent research to ensure that each factor can play an independent and accurate role in the analysis process.

Conclusion

Future research should focus on several key areas to further advance the integration of industry and academia in vocational education. Firstly, the exploration of innovative pedagogical approaches is essential. Research can investigate how blended learning environments, incorporating both online and hands-on experiences, can be optimized to enhance student engagement and skill acquisition in collaboration with industry partners.

Secondly, longitudinal studies assessing the impact of industry-academia collaboration on career outcomes for graduates could provide valuable insights. By tracking alumni over time, researchers can evaluate the effectiveness of various integration models in meeting labor market demands and fostering sustainable career paths.

Thirdly, the role of technology in facilitating industry-academia partnerships warrants in-

depth exploration. Research can examine how digital platforms and tools can bridge gaps between educational institutions and industries, enabling real-time collaboration, knowledge sharing, and resource allocation.

Investigating the effectiveness of different governance structures in these partnerships is another vital area. Understanding how various organizational frameworks influence collaboration outcomes can inform best practices and policy recommendations.

Lastly, comparative studies between countries with different models of industry-academia integration can yield insights into successful strategies and adaptable practices. Such research can contribute to a global understanding of vocational education's role in economic development and workforce readiness, enabling the sharing of best practices across borders.

These research directions will enhance the understanding of industry-academia integration and lead to more effective and resilient vocational education systems.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University for invaluable help throughout this research.

References

Yang Zhen (2024). Running vocational education well in the new era and cultivating high-quality skilled talents [N]. Chuxiong Daily (Han), September 18, 2024

Liu Weiye (2024). Building a Modern Vocational Education 'Jinchang Highland' [N]. Jinchang